## Report

Subject : Removal of certain Public Call Boxes throughout Salisbury District<br>Report to : The Cabinet<br>Date : Wednesday 18 June 2008<br>Author : Senior Planning Officer<br>Leader of the Cabinet : Councillor Paul Sample

## Reason for Report:

Councillor Sample has asked that this be brought to the attention of Cabinet.

## Background:

BT are considering the removal of certain phoneboxes throughout the District and have invited local comment. Under a current Government Directive, provided objections are received in time in accordance with a set procedure, there is a 'local veto' over the removal of boxes available to the District Council. BT does, however, have the option of challenging objections raised to the removal of individual boxes by appealing to the Competitions Appeals Tribunal.

Salisbury District Council are therefore seeking the views of the public, Parish Councils and City members to consider, and where necessary to object to, those boxes that it wishes to remain. The boxes proposed for removal are included at Appendix A.

Following initial consultation of Parish Councils and on the website, the Council has issued a Draft Decision on which phoneboxes should remain (ie which boxes it objects to being lost). The Council has initially objected to the loss of 28 of the boxes. These can be found at Appendix $\mathbf{B}$ along with the reasons why the Council objects to their loss. Many of these objections are based on concerns already raised by local people and parish councils.

However, further consultation is being undertaken on this initial decision, before making the final decision on which losses to object to. This will then be forwarded onto BT. Further representations are therefore welcomed, particularly in relation to any of the boxes proposed for removal but where the council has not raised an initial objection.

It is important to note that all representations must be received before 9am on 30 June 2008. The council cannot consider any representations after that date, because if the Council's Objections are not recevied by BT before $2^{\text {nd }}$ July it is possible that the boxes could be removed anyway.

## Factors to Consider

Objections can be raised on a number of grounds. Below is an extract from Ofcom's Guidance Removing Public Call Boxes - a Guide to the Rules.

## "Who lives there?

The type of local housing around a phone box may say how important it is to the area. If it's surrounded by people who own their homes, there's a fair chance they have home phones or mobiles as well. However, if the neighbourhood has mainly rented properties, social housing or residential-care homes, it could be that there are people on low incomes who need that phone box.

## Emergency calls

Many people feel reassured that phone boxes are available if there's an emergency. This can range from 999 calls to being able to call for help if your car breaks down. The local organisation needs to think about whether a particular phone box is more likely to be used for emergency calls than another. If, for example, the call box is near a known accident blackspot, it may strengthen the argument for it to be kept.

## What are the takings?

Whether it's a shop, a pub or a phone box, the amount of money people spend on a local service is a sign of whether it's important to them. BT may be able to show how much business a particular phone box is getting. If it's a very low figure, this may support their case to remove it. "

The full guidance can be found at:
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/uso/uso statement/uso plain english/removing callboxes.p df.

It is also worth remembering that traditional red telephone boxes (normally 'K6' boxes) have often been listed as buildings of special architectural or historic interest. Currently a number of boxes in the District are listed (for example in East Knoyle, Chilmark, Berwick St James and on Castle Street in Salisbury), and consideration is being given as to whether some of those proposed for removal should also be listed. However, the listing process (undertaken by English Heritage) can take some time, and therefore if boxes have potential for listing this may form a reason to object as well.

Our website consultation, with the Draft Decision and a list of the boxes proposed for removal, can be found at:
http://www.salisbury.gov.uk/community/bt-phonebox-consultation.htm.

## Options for consideration:

To consider those objections already initially raised, and to consider whether objections should be raised to the loss of the other boxes proposed for removal.

Attached:
Appendix $A-B T$ consultation and list of boxes to be removed.
Appendix B - SDC Draft Decision on those removals that it objects to. Wellesley Road, CROYDON,

Chief Planning Officer
Salisbury District Council
The Council House
Bourne Hill
Salisbury
Wiltshire
SP1 3UZ


2 April 2008
TIME SENSITIVE - 90 Day Consultation period end date: 02 July 2008
Dear Chief Planning Officer

## BT's proposal to re-align payphone provision to meet consumer demand.

As part of BT's Universal Service Obligation it is required to provide adequate coverage of public payphones. In recent years the needs of consumers have changed drastically with the increase in mobile phone ownership leading to a complete change in the communications culture throughout the UK - the number of calls made from BT payphones has more than halved in the last three years. Ninety nine per cent of UK homes now have a phone at home and 85 per cent have a mobile phone. There are currently 61,792 public payphones in Great Britain and $60 \%$ of these no longer cover their costs.

Striking a balance between the growing commercial pressures and providing an effective payphone service is vital, and BT has managed to keep its payphone business viable by careful management of costs. However, this challenge is becoming ever more demanding, so BT constantly needs to review payphone usage and on occasions looks to re-align the public payphone provision more closely to the reducing demand that still exists.

On the $14^{\text {th }}$ March 2006 the Office of Communications (Ofcom) published a statement following their 2005 review of universal service in the Telecommunications market. Part of that statement (which can be viewed at www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/uso/uso_statement/) amended BT's obligations with regard to the removal of payphone service.

In compliance with those revised obligations BT is writing to you as part of a formal consultation process regarding BT's current programme of proposed public payphone removals.

One of the changes introduced following the review is that there is no longer a requirement for BT to consult on the removal of a public payphone which has another payphone within 400 m . Out of courtesy we are advising you that there are a number of these payphones within Salisbury District which we will be addressing over the forthcoming months. Additionally there are currently 54 public payphones which are little used by consumers and are therefore proposed by BT for removal under full consultation. Details of these boxes are shown on the enclosed sheets which include telephone number and address on a box by box basis.

This letter formally starts our consultation with you and the local community on these proposals. BT will place consultation notices in the relevant kiosks over the next three weeks to ensure that the local comnninity are fully informed.

## What you need to do next



The enclosed list details the payphones which BT wishes to consult on within Salisbury District.
Part of Ofcom's review in 2005 states that it is the responsibility of the local authority to initiate its own consultation process to canvas the views of the local community, and that they would normally expect these consultations to involve other public organisations such as the parish or community councils.

Notifications of your final decision should include all justifications as BT may wish to challenge any unreasonable objections within the provisions of the appeals process.

Full guidance on the removal process can be viewed at the following URL:
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/uso/uso statement/removals.pdf and a plain English version is available at:
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/uso/uso statement/uso plain english/removing callboxes.pdf
The consultation period will close on $7 / 2 / 2008$ and responses received after this date will not be accepted. Please allow at least two days for postal delivery, and kindly note that proof of postage may be required in instances of dispute. If you are responding by email, please retain proof of despatch or apply a read receipt. Payphones will normally be removed as soon as practicable after the consultation period has ended.

We recognise that there may be concerns about our plans and that you may wish to discuss the content of this notification. Should your comments relate to a particular phone box, please clearly show the telephone number of the kiosk on your correspondence. This will ensure that we are able to deal with your enquiry efficiently.

All correspondence should be addressed to us at BT Payphones, PP 05A23, Delta Point, Wellesley Road, Croydon, CR9 2YZ or via email to btp.authorisation.team@bt.com. Please note that all responses should be collated and channelled via a single point of contact for your authority.

Yours sincerely,


## RICK THOMPSON

Project Liaison Office, BT Payphones

Salisbury District


Signature:
Area: Salisbury District
Job Title:

Please return this to:
BT Payphones, PP 05A23, Delta Point, Wellesley Road, CROYDON, CR9 2YZ by 02 July 2008

Salisbury District

| Telephone Number | Address | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Post } \\ & \text { Code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agree/ } \\ & \text { Object } \end{aligned}$ | Comments/Reasons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01722780297 | Pco, Alvediston, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP5 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01722790340 | Pco, Berwick St James, Berwick St James, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP3 } \\ & 4 \mathrm{TU} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01722790359 | Pco, Wylye Road, Little Langford, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP3 } \\ & 4 \mathrm{NP} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01722790376 | Pco, Wylye Road, Hanging Langford, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP3 } \\ & \text { 4NW } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01725510536 | Pco, Moot Lane, Downton, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP5 } \\ & \text { 3JP } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01725510799 | Pco, Woodfalls, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SP5} \\ & 2 \mathrm{LP} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01725510899 | Pco, School Rd, Lover, Salisbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP5 } \\ & \text { 2PW } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747828284 | Pco, Coronation Close, Donhead St Mary, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & 9 \mathrm{NJ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747828330 | Pco, Charlton, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & \text { OEN } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747828364 | Pco, Donhead St Mary, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & \text { 9DS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747828488 | Pco, Ludwell, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & \text { 9ND } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747830315 | Pco, Sedgehill, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & 9 \mathrm{JN} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747830424 | Pco, Semley, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & \text { 9AS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747840306 | Pco, Zeals, Warminster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BA12 } \\ & \text { 6ND } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747840494 | Pco, Stourton, Stourton, Warminster | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BA} 12 \\ & 6 \mathrm{QQ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 01747852920 | Pco, Station Rd, Semley, Shaftesbury | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP7 } \\ & \text { 9AH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Signature:
Area: Salisbury District
Job Title:

Please return this to:
BT Payphones, PP 05A23, Delta Point, Wellesley Road, CROYDON, CR9 2YZ by 02 July 2008

Salisbury District


Signature:
Area: Salisbury District
Job Title:

Please return this to:

## BT Payphones, PP 05A23, Delta Point, Wellesley Road, CROYDON, CR9 2YZ by 02 July 2008

Salisbury District

| Telephone <br> Number | Address | Post <br> Code | Agree/ <br> Object | Comments/Reasons |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01980652765 | Pco, College Rd, <br> Durrington, Salisbury | SP4 <br> 8AW |  |  |
| 01980670381 | Pco, Ablington, <br> Figheldean, Salisbury | SP4 <br> 8JX |  |  |
| 01980862399 | Pco, Livery Rd, <br> Winterslow, Salisbury | SP5 <br> 1RF |  |  |
| 01985844201 | 1pco, O/s The Post <br> Office, Church St, <br> Maiden Bradley, <br> Warminster | BA12 <br> 7HW |  |  |
| 01985844354 | In School Lane, 1pco, <br> Gare Hill, Frome | BA11 <br> 5EZ |  |  |
| 01985844370 | 1pco, The Street, <br> Kilmington, Warminster | BA12 <br> 6RG |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Signature:
Area:
Job Title:
Salisbury District

Please return this to:
BT Payphones, PP 05A23, Delta Point, Wellesley Road, CROYDON, CR9 2YZ by 02 July 2008

Head of Development Services, Salisbury District Council, Planning Office,

61 Wyndham Road, Salisbury,
Wiltshire SP1 3AH

Phone Switchboard: 01722434541
fax: 01722434520
email: developmentcontrol@salisbury.gov.uk
web: www.salisbury.gov.uk

## Simon Moseley

Telecoms Regulatory Policy UG22
Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform
1 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0ET
DATE: $27^{\text {th }}$ May 2008 OUR REF: YOUR REF:
For the attention of Simon Moseley
Dear Sir,
Draft decision by Salisbury District Council in response to proposals by British Telecommunications plc for the removal of public call boxes pursuant to Part 2 of the Schedule to a Direction published by Ofcom on 14 March 2006 ('the Direction').

Salisbury District Council, in accordance with section 49(4) of the Communications Act 2003 ('the Act'), hereby make a draft decision in response to a proposal by British Telecommunications plc for the removal of public call boxes pursuant to Part 2 of the Direction.

Section 50(1)(b) of the Act requires Salisbury District Council to send to the Secretary of State a copy of every notification published under section 49(4) of the Act. A copy of the First Notification is enclosed herewith.

Yours faithfully

## Stephen Thorne

Head of Development Services

## Notification under section 49(4) of the Communications Act 2003

Draft decision by Salisbury District Council in response to a proposal by British Telecommunications plc for the removal of public call boxes pursuant to Part 2 of the Schedule to a Direction published by Ofcom on 14 March 2006 ('the Direction').

1. Salisbury District Council, in accordance with section 49(4) of the Communications Act 2003 ('the Act'), hereby make the following draft decision in response to a proposal by British Telecommunications plc for the removal of public call boxes pursuant to Part 2 of the Direction.
2. The draft decision is set out in the Schedule to this Notification.
3. The effect of, and Salisbury District Council's reasons for making, the draft decision is set out in the Schedule to this Notification.
4. Salisbury District Council consider that the draft decision complies with the requirements of sections 45 to 50 of the Act, as appropriate and relevant to the proposal.
5. In making the draft decision, Salisbury District Council has considered and acted in accordance with the six community requirements in section 4 of the Act.
6. Representations may be made to Salisbury District Council about the draft decision by $\mathbf{9 . 0 0 a m}$ on $\mathbf{3 0}{ }^{\text {th }}$ June 2008.
7. A copy of this Notification has been sent to the Secretary of State in accordance with section 50(1)(b) of the Act.
8. The Schedule to this Notification shall form part of this Notification.

## Stephen Thorne

Head of Development Services
A person authorised by Salisbury District Council to sign this Notification
27 May 2008

## Schedule

Draft decision by Salisbury District Council in response to a proposal by British Telecommunications plc for the removal of public call boxes pursuant to Part 2 of the Schedule to a Direction published by Ofcom on 14 March 2006 ('the Direction').

At this stage, the Council objects to the change of siting (ie removal) of those boxes listed below (3 pages - in the order at which they are listed in BT's letter of $2^{\text {nd }}$ April). Currently the Council is not in receipt of objections in relation to the boxes not listed below, but further consultation is being undertaken and this may change the number and location of boxes to which the Council objects to being lost, particularly the loss of the facility they provide, and the removal of K 6 boxes that are an attractive feature of the landscape.

## Location

PCO, Harnham Road, Salisbury SP2 8JG

PCO, Whitsbury Road, Odstock, SP5 4JE

PCO, Nunton Drove, Nunton

PCO, Bugmore Lane, East Grimstead, 01722712201 Salisbury SP5 3SA

PCO, the Elms, Fovant, Salisbury
Salisbury SP3 5JZ

PCO, St Andrews Rd, South Newton SP2 0QR

PCO, jct of Phillips Road and Wishford Road, Wilton SP2 0JG

PCO, Alvediston, Salisbury SP5 5JY

PCO, Wylye Road, Little Langford

## Salisbury SP3 4NP

PCO, Wylye Road, Hanging Landford, 01722790359

01722714200

01722780297

01722790359

## Telephone number

01722327464

01722327951

01722329660

01722743168

01722743524

## Decision

Object on grounds of highway safety. The box provides an emergency facility for this A road at a safe stopping place.

Object on grounds of poor mobile phone signal.

Object on grounds of proximity to accommodation for elderly people (who are less likely to have access to a mobile phone).

Object on grounds of poor mobile phone signal, poor landline connection, fire safety advice regarding use of telephone in emergency and high level of aging population in area (less likely to use mobile phone). Some residents have expressed a willingness to help in the maintaining of this box.

Object on grounds of poor mobile phone signal, high level of aging population in area (less likely to have/use mobile phone) and there is a high number of elderly visitors to area. The Parish Council have expressed a willingness to help in the maintaining of this box.

Object on grounds of proximity to low income/social housing, and proximity to A36 where it provides an emergency facility.

Object on the ground that this box serves an area of low income/social housing.

Object on grounds of poor/non-existent mobile phone signal in this rural area.

Object on grounds of number of elderly people in the locality and potential use in an emergency

Object on grounds of number of elderly

| Salisbury SP3 4NW |  | people in the locality and potential use in an emergency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCO, Berwick St James, Berwick St James SP3 4NP | 01722790340 | Object on the grounds that as this is a Grade II listed building, the retention of the telephone is an important part of its integrity and, as the box has to be maintained anyway, it might as well provide some revenue |
| PCO, Moot Lane, Downton, Salisbury SP5 2LP | 01725510536 | Object on grounds of proximity to housing for elderly people (less likely to have/use mobile phone). |
| PCO, Coronation Close, Donhead St Mary SP7 9NJ | 01474828284 | Object on the ground that this box serves an area of low income/social housing in a rural area where consideration is being given to further affordable housing. |
| PCO, Semley, Shaftesbury SP7 9AS | 01747830424 | Object on grounds of loss of public facility in a remote area where the mobile phone signal is poor or nonexistent. Consideration is being given to whether this box should be listed. |
| PCO, Stourton, Stourton, Warminster BA12 6QQ | 01747840494 | Object on grounds that this box is likely to be used by tourists visiting Stourhead House who are less likely to have access to a mobile phone. Also object to lack of site notice. Consideration is being given to whether this box should be listed. |
| PCO, Station Road, Semley Shaftesbury SP7 9AH | 01747852920 | Object on the ground that this box serves an area of low income/social housing in a rural area. |
| PCO, Hazeldon Lane, Hatch Lane, Hazeldon, Tisbury SP3 6NT | 01747870357 | Object on grounds of remote location of the box and its proximity to a railway level crossing (road and railway safety) |
| PCO, Ridge, Chilmark, Salisbury SP3 5BS | 01747870418 | Object on grounds on grounds that this box serves an isolated part of the District. Consideration is being given to whether the box should be 'listed'. No site notice has been displayed. |
| PCO, Newton Lane, Whiteparish SP5 2QQ | 01794884242 | Object on the ground that this box serves an area of low income/social housing in a rural area |
| PCO, Stonehenge Rd, Winterbourne Stoke, Salisbury SP3 4SZ | 01980620333 | Object on grounds of highway safety |
| PCO, Orcheston, Salisbury SP3 4RW | 01980620414 | Object on grounds of poor/non-existent mobile phone signal |
| PCO, Coltsfoot Close, Amesbury SP4 7NP | 01980622153 | Object on the ground that this box serves an area of low income/social housing in a rural area |


| PCO, Ablington, Figheldean, | 01980670381 | Object on grounds of the relatively high <br> retirement population in the area and <br> proximity to MOD airfields with potential <br> for emergency use. Consideration is <br> being given to whether the building |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| should be listed. The Parish Council |  |  |
| have expressed a willingness to help |  |  |
| with the cleaning of this box. |  |  |

End of schedule

Phone Switchboard: 01722434541
fax: 01722434520
email: developmentcontrol@salisbury.gov.uk web: www.salisbury.gov.uk
Rick Thompson
Project Liaison Office
BT Payphones PP 05A23
Delta Point, Wellesley Road
CROYDON CR9 2YZ
DATE: $\quad 27^{\text {th }}$ May 2008
OUR REF: OM

## YOUR REF:

Dear Mr Thompson

## RE: COPY OF DRAFT NOTIFICATION - PROPOSED REMOVAL OF TELEPHONE KIOSKS IN SALISBURY AREA

Further to your letter of $2^{\text {nd }}$ April 2008, please find attached a copy of the Draft notification to the removal of some of the telephone boxes identified in the attached schedule to the Draft Notification letter.

Our concerns relate to the location of some of the boxes in the remoter parts of our district, where they provide a vital life-line - often the only public facility in the locality - particularly in locations serving social/affordable housing (often ex-Council housing). Further concerns relate to those boxes close to housing occupied predominantly by elderly people, those in the parts of the district with poor mobile phone coverage, and those located close to main roads.

We are also concerned at the loss of the positive contribution that the traditional 'red' K6 telephone boxes and consideration is being given to whether some of these boxes deserve 'listed' status. One of the boxes (at Berwick St James) is already Grade II listed and therefore cannot be removed in any case.

Whist writing, in the course of considering the list of telephone boxes submitted, a number of errors have become apparent in BT's consultation process. Those noted are that the box at Tollard Royal (Tollard Royal 516250) is not included within the list (and has not therefore not been subject to full consultation with interested parties), although BT has erected a consultation site notice.

Conversely, the following boxes have been included within the list but have had no notice displayed (and none appears to have ever been displayed), invalidating the consultation process, at least for these boxes. Until further consultation has been undertaken, we therefore object to the removal of these boxes as well. (This is not, however, a comprehensive list of those unadvertised):

- PCO, Stourton, Stourton, Warminster 01747840494
- PCO, Ridge, Chilmark 01747870418
- PCO, School Lane, Gare Hill, Frome 01985844354
- PCO, The Street, Kilmington 01985844370

Finally the deadline for the consultation period is specified as being $7 / 2 / 2008$ in the letter, but $2^{\text {nd }}$ July 2008 elsewhere.

If you wish to discuss the matter please do not hesitate to contact me on the above number. I would be grateful if you could keep us informed about any change to those boxes proposed for removal as a result of the draft decision.

We will write to you again after the second period of consultation, with a copy of our Final Decision, before $2^{\text {nd }}$ July 2008.

Yours sincerely,
Oliver Marigold Senior Planning Officer

